Filed by CBOT Holdings, Inc. Pursuant to Rule 425 under the Securities Act of 1933 and deemed filed pursuant to Rule 14a-12 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

> Subject Company CBOT Holdings, Inc. (Commission File No. 001-32650)

February 8, 2007

Dear CBOT Members:

On February 6, 2007, the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") published a Notice to solicit comments on the CBOE's Proposed Rule Change, relating to the CBOE's December 12, 2006 proposed interpretation of Article Fifth(b) of CBOE's Certificate of Incorporation. A copy of the Notice is attached to this letter.

The CBOE's Proposed Rule Change, if it is approved by the SEC, would extinguish the Exercise Right upon the closing of the proposed merger between Chicago Mercantile Exchange Holdings, Inc. and CBOT Holdings, Inc. This Exercise Right was granted to CBOT members in the CBOE's Certificate of Incorporation. The SEC will receive comments over the next few weeks and then consider both the CBOE's proposal and the comments received on that proposal.

All interested persons, including CBOT B-1 Members, are invited to submit written comments concerning the CBOE's Proposed Rule Change to the SEC *on or before February 27*, 2007. We believe the SEC should disapprove the CBOE's Proposed Rule Change, and we intend to submit written comments covering some or all of the following points. You may also wish to submit comments covering these or other points you believe the SEC should consider in reviewing the CBOE's Proposed Rule Change. If you wish to submit written comments, please be sure the SEC receives your comments on for before the February 27, 2007 deadline. Instructions for submitting comments are set forth below. While you are welcome to include the following points in your submission, you should not submit a form letter, nor should you simply repeat these points in your submission.

- CBOT full members provided valuable consideration when they, not the CBOE members, created the CBOE. As the CBOE concedes in its proposal, the CBOT and its members contributed their time, their money and their intellectual property. But for the contributions of CBOT full members, there would be no CBOE.
- Many times throughout the period from the creation of the CBOE to the present, the CBOE has recognized that, as compensation for the time, money, and resources that the CBOT and its members expended in the development of the CBOE, the CBOT full members have a contract right to become CBOE members without obtaining a separate CBOE membership.
- Since the creation of the CBOE, Article Fifth(b) of the CBOE's Charter has provided those membership privileges to CBOT members. The CBOE has amplified this right in numerous contracts since its creation.

- By the Charter and those contracts, eligible CBOT full members have trading rights/access to the CBOE and have the right to share equally in any distribution stemming from CBOE's planned demutualization.
- CBOE's Proposed Rule Change is simply an effort by the CBOE (a) to take the contract rights of CBOT full members without compensation; and (b) to enrich its regular members at the expense of CBOT full members. It has nothing to do with furthering the principles of Section 6(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and to protect investors and the public interest. This is a matter of state corporate and contract law that should be resolved in the pending Delaware litigation.
- CBOE's Proposed Rule Change, in violation of eligible CBOT full members' contractual rights under Article Fifth(b) (as well as under the subsequent agreements), represents an attempt to confiscate the valuable property interest of eligible CBOT full members.
- CBOE's Proposed Rule Change is also a violation of eligible CBOT full members' contractual rights to access the CBOE's market, creating a new, previously non-existent, financial risk to Exerciser Members.

Comments may be submitted either electronically or by paper. There are two different methods of electronic comments. The first method is by way of the SEC's Internet comment form: http://www.sec/gov/rules/sro.shtml. The second method is by sending an e-mail to rule-comments@sec.gov. Be certain to include "File No. SR-CBOE-2006-106" in the "re:" or "Subject" line of any e-mail.

Paper comments must be submitted in triplicate to:

Nancy M. Morris Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission 100 F. Street, NE Washington, DC 20549-1090

Be certain to refer to "File No. SR-CBOE-2006-106" in the paper submission.

The SEC will post all comments on the SEC's Internet web site. All submissions should be submitted to the SEC on or before February 27, 2007.

Very truly yours,

Charles P. Carey

Chairman of the Board

Bernard W. Dan President and CEO

Important Merger Information

In connection with the proposed merger of CBOT Holdings, Inc. ("CBOT") and the Chicago Mercantile Exchange Holdings Inc. ("CME"), the parties have filed relevant materials with the Securities Exchange Commission ("SEC"), including a joint proxy statement/prospectus regarding the proposed transaction.

INVESTORS ARE URGED TO READ THE JOINT PROXY STATEMENT/PROSPECTUS REGARDING THE PROPOSED TRANSACTION, BECAUSE IT CONTAINS IMPORTANT INFORMATION. Investors are able to obtain a free copy of the joint proxy statement/prospectus, as well as other filings containing information about CBOT and CME without charge, at the SEC's website (http://www.sec.gov). Copies of the joint proxy statement/prospectus can also be obtained when available, without charge by directing a request to CBOT Holdings, Inc., Attention: Investor Relations, at 141 West Jackson, Chicago, Illinois 60604 or calling (312) 435-3500.

CBOT, CME and their respective directors and executive officers and other members of management and employees may be deemed to be participants in the solicitation of proxies from CBOT shareholders in respect of the proposed transaction. Information regarding CBOT directors and executive officers is available in CBOT's proxy statement for its 2006 annual meeting of stockholders, dated March 29, 2006. Additional information regarding the interests of such potential participants is included in the joint proxy statement/prospectus and the other relevant documents filed with the SEC. This document shall not constitute an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy any securities, nor shall there be any sale of securities in any jurisdiction in which such offer, solicitation or sale would be unlawful prior to registration or qualification under the securities laws of any such jurisdiction. No offering of securities shall be made except by means of a prospectus meeting the requirements of Section 10 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

Forward-Looking Statements

Certain statements in this document and its attachments may contain forward-looking information regarding CBOT, CME and the combined company after the completion of the transactions that are intended to be covered by the safe harbor for "forward-looking statements" provided by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements include, but are not limited to, the benefits of the business combination transaction involving CBOT and CME including future financial and operating results, the combined company's plans, objectives, expectations and intentions and other statements that are not historical facts. Such statements are based upon the current beliefs and expectations of the management of CBOT and CME and are subject to significant risks and uncertainties. Actual results may differ from those set forth in the forward-looking statements.

The following factors, among others, could cause actual results to differ from those set forth in the forward-looking statements: the ability to obtain governmental approvals of the transaction on the proposed terms and schedule; the failure of CBOT shareholders or CME shareholders to approve the transaction; the risk that the businesses will not be integrated successfully; the risk that the cost savings and any other synergies from the transaction may not be fully realized or may take longer to realize than expected; disruption from the transaction making it more difficult to maintain relationships with customers, employees or suppliers; competition and its effect on pricing, spending, third-party relationships and revenues; social and political conditions such as war, political unrest or terrorism; general economic conditions and normal business uncertainty. Additional risks and factors are identified in CBOT's filings with the SEC, including

its Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2005 which is available on CBOT's website at http://www.cbot.com.

You should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this document. Except for any obligation to disclose material information under the Federal securities laws, CBOT undertakes no obligation to release publicly any revisions to any forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this document.

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an e-mail to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File No. SR-Amex-2006-117 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

 Send paper comments in triplicate to Nancy M. Morris, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Station Place, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR–Amex–2006–117. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commissions Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of Amex. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–Amex–2006–117 and should be submitted on or before February 27, 2007.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.²¹

Florence E. Harmon,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. E7-1830 Filed 2-2-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-55190; File No. SR-CBOE-2006-106]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change, and Amendment No. 1 Thereto, Relating to an Interpretation of Paragraph (b) of Article Fifth of Its Certificate of Incorporation

January 29, 2007.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"), and Rule 19b–4 thereunder, notice is hereby given that on December 12, 2006, the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated ("CBOE" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the CBOE. On January 17, 2007, the Exchange filed Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change, as amended, from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

This filing presents an interpretation of the rules of CBOE made necessary by the proposed acquisition of the Board of Trade of the City of Chicago, Inc. ("CBOT") by Chicago Mercantile Exchange Holdings Inc. ("CME Holdings"). The acquisition is proposed to be accomplished by the merger of CBOT Holdings, Inc. ("CBOT Holdings"), of which CBOT is currently a subsidiary, with and into CME Holdings, with CME Holdings continuing as the surviving corporation and as the parent company of CBOT as well as of its existing wholly-owned subsidiary, Chicago Mercantile Exchange Inc. ("CME"). This interpretation is that upon the consummation of the acquisition of CBOT by CME Holdings, the right of members of CBOT to become and remain members of CBOE without having to purchase a CBOE membership will be terminated, in that there no longer will be individuals who qualify as a member of CBOT within the meaning of the rule that creates that right. This right (sometimes referred to as the "exercise right") is granted to CBOT full members under paragraph (b) of Article Fifth of the CBOE Certificate of Incorporation ("Article Fifth(b)"), as previously interpreted in accordance with agreements between CBOE and CBOT dated September 1, 1992 (the "1992 Agreement"), August 7, 2001 as amended by letter agreements dated October 7, 2004, and February 14, 2005 (the "2001 Agreement"), and December 17, 2003 (the "2003 Agreement"). Persons who are members of CBOE pursuant to the exercise right are sometimes referred to as "exercise members" of CBOE.

The proposed rule interpretation also describes how CBOE proposes to avoid disruption to its marketplace as a result of the termination of the exercise right on account of the acquisition of CBOT by CME Holdings. This will be accomplished by permitting certain "grandfathered" exercise members of CBOE to continue to have members' trading rights on CBOE for a limited period of time commencing with the effectiveness of the acquisition and continuing until such time as there is no longer any risk of market disruption by reason of the termination of the exercise right.

No textual changes to CBOE's rule provisions are proposed by this filing. The text of the proposed rule change is available at CBOE, the Commission's Public Reference Room, and www.cboe.com.

- ²¹ 17 CFR 200.30–3(a)(12).
- ¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).
- ² 17 CFR 240.19b–4.
- The text of Amendment No. 1 is available at CBOE, the Commission's Public Reference Room and http://www.cboe.org/publish/RuleFilingsSEC/ SR—CBOE_2006_106.al.pdf. In Amendment No. 1, the Exchange added a paragraph to the Purpose Section discussing membership rights as reflected in CBOT Holding's S—4 filing on December 21, 2006, and attached several documents as Exhibits to Amendment No. 1, including a legal opinion letter dated January 16, 2007.
- The interpretations of Article Fifth(b) embodied in the 1992, 2001, and 2003 Agreements were the subject of proposed rule changes that were approved by the Commission under Section 19(b)(2) of the Act in Release Nos. 32430, 51733, and 51252, respectively. *See* Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 32430 (June 8, 1993), 58 FR 32969 (June 14, 1993) (SR–CBOE–92–42); 51733 (May 24, 2005), 70 FR 30981 (May 31, 2005) (SR–CBOE–2005–19); and 51252 (February 25, 2005), 70 FR 10442 (March 3, 2005) (SR–CBOE–2004–16). CBOE also interpreted Article Fifth (b) in 2002 in other respects that are not directly pertinent to the proposed rule interpretation. *See* Securities Exchange Act Release No. 46719 (October 25, 2002), 67 FR 66689 (November 1,

| 2002) (SR–CBOE–2002–41). The Commission notes that although it approved the proposed rule changes referenced above, it has never approved the agreements discussed herein. | e |
|--|---|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the CBOE included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The purpose of the proposed rule change is to provide an interpretation of the rules of CBOE concerning the effect on the exercise right of the consummation of the proposed acquisition of CBOT by CME Holdings. The proposed rule change also includes a plan to enable CBOE to continue to provide fair and orderly markets when and if the exercise right is terminated upon the effectiveness of the acquisition of CBOT.

Background of the Exercise Right

Article Fifth(b) provides in part, "In recognition of the special contribution made to the organization and development of the [CBOE] by the members of [CBOT], * * * every present and future member of [CBOT] who applies for membership in the [CBOE] and who otherwise qualifies shall, so long as he remains a member of said Board of Trade, be entitled to be a member of the [CBOE] notwithstanding any such limitation on the number of members and without the necessity of acquiring such membership for consideration or value from the [CBOE], its members or elsewhere."

The "special contribution" of the members of CBOT referred to in Article Fifth(b) consisted primarily of CBOT's providing the seed capital for the start-up of CBOE in the early 1970s by means of direct cash expenditures, CBOT's guarantee of a bank loan to CBOE to fund additional CBOE start-up costs, and CBOT's contribution of intellectual property. As the owners of CBOT, its members, through their dues and other payments made to CBOT, were the principal source of the funds expended by CBOT in the development of CBOE and related intellectual property, and effectively bore the risk on the bank loan guaranteed by CBOT.

Although when CBOT first envisioned the creation of a market in listed securities put and call options, its intention was to trade these options in trading pits on CBOT itself, early in the planning process it recognized that largely for regulatory reasons it would need to organize a new and separate securities exchange dedicated exclusively to the trading of listed securities options. This new exchange ultimately became the CBOE. Because a new and separate exchange with its own separate membership needed to be created to provide for the trading of listed securities options, CBOT was faced with the question of how to compensate its members for the funds they had provided (through CBOT) and the financial risks they had assumed as owners of CBOT in connection with the development of that new exchange.

CBOT's answer to this question, reflected in Article Fifth(b) of the Certificate of Incorporation of CBOE, was to give to each of its 1,402 members an "exercise right" to become a member of the new exchange without having to purchase a separate CBOE membership. From its very inception, the exercise right was tied to the continued ownership of a CBOT membership. Only those persons who continued to maintain the status of a CBOT member were entitled to the exercise right. By tying the exercise right to the continued ownership of a CBOT membership, CBOT sought to assure that any owner of a CBOT membership would receive a tangible benefit from the creation of CBOE, which would be reflected in the value of the CBOT membership, whether or not the owner of the CBOT membership might ever want to trade as a member of CBOE.

Previous Interpretations of Article Fifth(b)

The fundamental concept that the exercise right in Article Fifth(b) was a right of member-owners of CBOT was reflected in interpretations of that provision that have been embodied in various agreements between CBOE and CBOT. One such interpretation was embodied in the 1992 Agreement, which addressed, among other things, what would happen to the exercise right if the membership interests of the existing 1,402 member-owners of CBOT were divided into parts. That interpretation provided that, under those circumstances, all such parts, together with the trading rights appurtenant thereto, must be in the possession of an individual in order for that individual to be eligible to utilize the exercise right.⁵

Just such a division of the rights represented by membership on CBOT was effected by CBOT in its 2005 restructuring, when a CBOT member's ownership rights were separated from that member's trading rights. The ownership rights of CBOT members were then further diluted in the subsequent public offering of shares of stock of CBOT Holdings. When CBOT first proposed to restructure in late 2000, CBOE's response was that the effect of this transaction would be to eliminate entirely the concept of CBOT "membership" as it existed when the exercise right was created as a right held by members of CBOT, and therefore would result in the termination of the exercise right. This interpretation of Article Fifth(b) was reflected in a filing made by CBOE with the Commission under Section 19(b) of the Act. 6 CBOT disputed CBOE's response, and brought suit against CBOE in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois. That lawsuit was dismissed on the ground that the Court's jurisdiction over matters involving exchange rules pertaining to membership was preempted by the Commission's jurisdiction under the Act. CBOT appealed the dismissal.

Subsequently, while CBOE's 19(b) filing and CBOT's appeal of the dismissal of its lawsuit were both pending, CBOE and CBOT settled their dispute on the basis of an interpretation of Article Fifth(b) by CBOE that would permit the exercise right to remain in existence following the restructuring of CBOT as long as specified conditions were satisfied. That interpretation was embodied in the 2001 Agreement. Among other things, that interpretation was subject to the condition that, in order to avail themselves of the exercise right to become and remain members of CBOE following the restructuring of CBOT, individuals needed to hold not only the trading rights of a full member of CBOT but also needed to hold the same number of shares of stock of CBOT Holdings originally issued to CBOT members in the restructuring.

In this manner, the agreed-upon interpretation of Article Fifth(b) embodied in the 2001 Agreement carried forward the basic concept noted above that, in order to be viewed as a CBOT member eligible to utilize the exercise right to become and remain a member of CBOE following the restructuring of CBOT, a person must continue to have an ownership interest in CBOT (or must be the delegate of such a person). To assure that this interpretation would not apply under any circumstances other than the restructuring, the interpretation was expressly made subject to the condition that it would apply only "in the absence

⁵ See 1992 Agreement, Section 2(b).

⁶ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 43521 (November 3, 2000), 65 FR 69585 (November 17, 2000) (SR-CBOE-2000-44).

of any other material changes to the structure or ownership of the CBOT * * * not contemplated in the CBOT [restructuring]." The IPO of CBOT Holdings common stock, which followed soon after CBOT's restructuring, was contemplated in the original restructuring transaction. Accordingly, consistent with the 2001 Agreement, the exercise right remained available following the IPO to CBOT members who continued to hold the ownership interest in CBOT Holdings that was issued to them in the restructuring, notwithstanding that the effect of the IPO was to reduce the percentage ownership represented by that interest.

The Proposed Acquisition of CBOT by CME Holdings

The present proposed acquisition of CBOT by CME Holdings, which would dramatically change the ownership of CBOT by making it a subsidiary of CME Holdings, was not contemplated as part of the original restructuring of CBOT. It is thus outside of the scope of the 2001 Agreement and the interpretation of Article Fifth(b) embodied therein. Similarly, once the proposed acquisition of CBOT is effective, an important condition of the interpretation embodied in the 2001 Agreement would cease to be satisfied—namely, that there not be any change to the ownership of CBOT not contemplated in its 2005 restructuring.

The significance of these consequences of the acquisition of CBOT by CME Holdings is twofold: First, it means that, upon the effectiveness of the acquisition of CBOT by CME Holdings, the 2001 Agreement and the interpretation of Article Fifth(b) embodied therein can no longer be relied upon as a basis for treating the exercise right as continuing in effect following the 2005 restructuring of CBOT. Second, it also means that the 2001 Agreement and the interpretation of Article Fifth(b) embodied therein cannot be relied upon to answer the further question of whether the exercise right will remain in existence following the acquisition of CBOT by CME Holdings, wholly apart from those questions raised by the 2005 restructuring. In other words, the agreed-upon interpretation that settled the exercise right issues raised by CBOT's restructuring and subsequent IPO by its terms applies only so long as there is no further change to the structure or ownership of CBOT not then in contemplation. Consequently, the fact that there would be such a further change upon the effectiveness of the acquisition of CBOT by CME Holdings, means that, insofar as issues pertaining to the continued availability of the exercise right are concerned, the parties are back in the position they were in before they reached the settlement reflected in the 2001 Agreement.

For this reason, and consistent with the position CBOE took when confronted with the proposed restructuring of CBOT in 2000, it is CBOE's position that the effect of that restructuring of CBOT and the subsequent IPO was to eliminate the concept of a member-owner of CBOT as that concept was understood when Article Fifth(b) was first adopted in CBOE's Certificate of Incorporation, and when it was subsequently interpreted in accordance with the 1992 Agreement. The ownership interest of CBOT members in CBOT will be further attenuated upon the effectiveness of CME Holdings' acquisition of CBOT, when CBOT will become a subsidiary of CME Holdings. As explained above, both when the exercise right was first created and when it was interpreted in 1992, an essential feature of CBOT membership was the ownership rights in CBOT held by every CBOT member. Indeed, it was to compensate CBOT members for the contributions they made to the development of CBOE as the owners of CBOT that the exercise right was created in the first place. Consistent with the intended purpose of the exercise right, once CBOT members cease to be owners of CBOT, they will cease to be able to avail themselves of the exercise right as a means of acquiring membership in CBOE.

This view of the exercise right is consistent with, and indeed is mandated by, the interpretation of Article Fifth(b) embodied in the 1992 Agreement. That interpretation makes it clear that the exercise right is held only by individuals who hold one of the 1,402 CBOT memberships that were in existence when CBOT members made their "special contribution" to the development of CBOE, or by persons who are the delegates of such individuals. Consistent with this proposition, Section 3(d) of the 1992 Agreement addresses the possibility that CBOT, among other things, may merge or consolidate with, or be acquired by, another entity, and establishes three conditions that all must be satisfied for the exercise right to remain available following any such transaction. These three conditions are:

- 1. "** * the survivor of such merger, consolidation or acquisition ("survivor") is an exchange which provides or maintains a market in commodity futures contracts or options, securities, or other financial instruments, and * * *
- 2. the 1,402 holders of CBOT Full Memberships are granted in such merger, consolidation or acquisition membership in the survivor ("Survivor Membership"), and ***
- 3. such Survivor Membership entitles the holder thereof to have full trading rights and privileges in all products then or thereafter traded on the survivor (except that such trading rights and privileges need not include products that, at the time of such merger, consolidation or acquisition, are traded or listed, designated or otherwise authorized for trading on the other entity but not on the CBOT) * * *."

If CBOT is acquired by CME Holdings as proposed, not only would all three of these conditions not be satisfied, as would be necessary for the exercise right to remain available following the acquisition, but in fact none of these three conditions would be satisfied. Condition 1 would not be satisfied because, in the context of Section 3(d) of the 1992 Agreement, the reference to "the" survivor of a merger, consolidation or acquisition means the acquiring entity that survives the transaction. Here, CME Holdings will be the acquiring entity that survives the acquisition, but it is not an exchange.

Condition 2 would not be satisfied because there will not be 1,402 holders of CBOT Full Memberships (defined as the 1,402 CBOT full memberships that were "existing" in 1992) who would be granted membership in the survivor. To the contrary, there would not be *any* holders of CBOT full memberships as they existed in 1992, since all of these memberships were stripped of their ownership attributes in the 2005 restructuring of CBOT. Likewise, CME Holdings—the survivor of the acquisition and the new owner of CBOT—would not be an exchange and would not be capable of granting membership interests in itself to anyone. In other words, this condition would allow the exercise right to remain in effect following an acquisition of CBOT only if the survivor of the acquisition that was the new owner of CBOT were an exchange owned by its members, including the former members of CBOT. In the case of the proposed CME Holdings acquisition, however, the surviving acquirer would not be an exchange, but would be a holding company in which many former members of CBOT may have no ownership interests whatsoever. Although CBOE has previously interpreted Article Fifth(b) to permit it to continue in existence, subject to stated conditions, following CBOT's 2005 restructuring and subsequent IPO, the 2001 Agreement cannot be relied upon for any purpose from and after the acquisition of CBOT by CME Holdings, for the reasons stated above.

Even if CBOT is considered to be the survivor of the proposed acquisition, Condition 2 would still not be satisfied because, following the acquisition, persons who were members prior to the acquisition will no longer be members as that term was commonly understood when Article Fifth(b) was adopted in 1972 and when it was interpreted in 1992. Not only will these persons not be owners of CBOT, but, except for trading rights, they will no longer have most of the other rights formerly held by members of CBOT. The S-4 registration statement filed by CBOT Holdings on December 21, 2006 in respect of the proposed acquisition reveals that, following the acquisition, CBOT's former Series B–1 members (who prior to the acquisition are the "full" members of CBOT entitled to the exercise right) will lose most of their membership rights. Among other things, they will be stripped of the right to elect directors and nominating committee members, the right to nominate candidates for election as directors, the right to call special meetings of members, the right to initiate proposals at meetings of members, the right to vote on extraordinary transactions involving CBOT, and the right to amend or repeal the bylaws of CBOT. In other words, following the acquisition of CBOT by CME Holdings, persons who had formerly been the full members of that exchange will simply be the holders of trading permits and will not be granted any of the other rights commonly associated with membership in an exchange.

Finally, condition 3 of Section 3(d) of the 1992 Agreement would not be satisfied following the acquisition of CBOT by CME Holdings. This is because, for the reason stated above in the discussion of condition 1, condition 3 contemplates an acquisition where the surviving acquirer is an exchange, and it requires that CBOT members must have essentially the same full trading rights on that surviving exchange as they had on CBOT prior to the acquisition. Here, the surviving acquirer would not be an exchange, and for that reason it is not possible for CBOT members to have any trading rights on the survivor. The conclusion is the same even if CBOE were to look through CME Holdings to what will be its two subsidiary exchanges (CME and CBOT). Although former CBOT members may be granted trading rights in all products traded and to be traded on both of those exchanges, save only for those products traded exclusively on CME at the time of the acquisition, these rights will no longer be the same "full" trading rights that were held by CBOT full members in 1992. This is the case because, at least in respect of new products to be introduced on CME after the acquisition, the trading rights of CBOT members will be diluted by the trading rights granted to other persons (i.e., CME members) to trade these same products. Once persons who are not members of CBOT are granted the right to trade products on the same terms as members of CBOT, as would be the case with new products introduced following the acquisition of CBOT by CME Holdings, then the trading rights inherent in CBOT membership will be reduced from what they were prior to the acquisition, and thus cannot support the availability of the exercise right to persons who hold those diminished rights.

Conclusion

Since the conditions of Section 3(d) of the 1992 Agreement will not be satisfied following the acquisition of CBOT by CME Holdings, the terms of that Section mandate that "Article Fifth(b) shall not apply" following the acquisition. In other words, once CBOT has been acquired by CME Holdings, the exercise right will no longer be available as a means of acquiring membership in CBOE.

Transitional Proposal

To prevent any risk that the loss of exercise members upon the termination of the exercise right might adversely affect liquidity in CBOE's market, CBOE is prepared to maintain the status quo for some period of time after the exercise right has been terminated. This result would be accomplished by staying, for an interim period of time, the impact of the termination of the exercise right on the trading access of those individuals who were exercise members of CBOE on a designated cut-off date. This would permit those individuals to continue to trade on CBOE in the capacity of CBOE members during that interim period. For this purpose, CBOE proposes the close of business on December 11, 2006 as the cut-off date for determining whether exercise members would have the right, during the interim period, to continue to have trading access to CBOE. Individuals who were exercise members of CBOE in good standing on that date would continue to be able to trade as members of CBOE during the interim period, notwithstanding the above-described effect on the exercise right of the acquisition of CBOT, but individuals who were not effective exercise members on that date would not be permitted to exercise or have trading access to CBOE during the interim period without obtaining a separate CBOE membership. This interim period would continue for so long as necessary to avoid any disruption to the market as a result of the loss of exercise members, which could involve CBOE adopting a plan to provide some form of trading access to such persons in the absence of the exercise right. Any such plan would be subject to the approval of CBOE members under Section 2.1 of the Exchange's Constitution, and to the approval of the Commission under Section 19(b) of the Act.⁸

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act,⁹ in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act,¹⁰ in particular, in that it is a reasonable interpretation of existing rules of the Exchange that is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to perfect the mechanism of a free and open market, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants or Others

Written comments on the proposed rule change were neither solicited nor received.

- In this respect, the decision to stay the effectiveness of what otherwise would result in a termination of trading access is analogous to the right of the Exchange under CBOE Rule 3.19. That Rule authorizes the Exchange, when the Exchange determines that there are extenuating circumstances, to permit a member "to retain the member's status for such period of time as the Exchange deems reasonably necessary" to enable the member to address specified problems that otherwise would cause the membership status to terminate.
- ⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b).
- 9 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).
- 10 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within 35 days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** or within such longer period (i) as the Commission may designate up to 90 days of such date if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the Exchange consents, the Commission will:

- (A) By order approve such proposed rule change, or
- (B) Institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form http://www.sec.gov/ rules/sro.shtml; or
- · Send an e-mail to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File No. SR-CBOE-2006-106 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

• Send paper comments in triplicate to Nancy M. Morris, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090. All submissions should refer to File No. SR-CBOE–2006–106. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site at http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml. Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File No. SR–CBOE–2006–106 and should be submitted on or before February 27, 2007.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority. 11

Florence E. Harmon,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. E7-1828 Filed 2-5-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-55193; File No. SR-CBOE-2006-111]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change Relating to Exchange Fees for Fiscal Year 2007

January 30, 2007.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act") ¹ and Rule 19b–4 thereunder, ² notice is hereby given that on December 22, 2006, the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated ("CBOE" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II and III below, which Items have been substantially prepared by the CBOE. The CBOE has designated this proposal as one establishing or changing a due, fee, or other charge imposed by the CBOE under Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act ³ and Rule 19b–4(f)(2) thereunder, ⁴ which renders the proposal effective upon filing with the Commission. ⁵ The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend the CBOE Fees Schedule ("Fees Schedule") to make various changes for fiscal year 2007. The text of the proposed rule change is available at the CBOE, on the Exchange's Web site at http://www.cboe.com, and in the Commission's Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The purpose of this proposed rule change is to amend the Fees Schedule to make various fee changes. The proposed changes are the product of the Exchange's annual budget review. The Exchange proposes to amend the fees as noted below.

a. Options Transaction Fees

The Exchange proposes to revise per contract transaction fees in order to remain competitive and to streamline its Fees Schedule.

Equity Options: The Exchange proposes to charge all CBOE liquidity providers (CBOE market-maker, Designated Primary Market-Maker ("DPM"), Electronic Designated Primary Market-Maker ("e-DPM"), Lead Market- Maker ("LMM") and Remote Market- Maker ("RMM")) (collectively, "Liquidity Providers") a \$.20 per contract transaction fee. Currently, market-makers (including LMMs) are charged \$.22 per contract; DPMs are charged \$.16 per contract; e-DPMs are charged \$.25 per contract; and RMMs are charged \$.26 per contract. Member firm proprietary transaction fees are currently \$.20 per contract for facilitation of customer orders and \$.24 per contract for non-facilitation orders. The Exchange proposes to charge a flat fee of \$.20 per contract for all member firm proprietary transactions. The public customer transaction fee would remain at \$.00, but public customer transactions would be subject to the proposed Customer Complex Order Fee. Broker-dealer and non-member

- ¹¹ 17 CFR 200.30–3(a)(12).
- ¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).
- ² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.
- ³ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).
- 4 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(2).
- The Exchange has proposed that the changes to the Fees Schedule take effect on January 1, 2007.
- The \$.20 per contact transaction fee is the standard Liquidity Provider transaction fee and will be eligible for reduction pursuant to the "Liquidity Provider Sliding Scale," described in Section II.A.1.b. below.
- 7 See infra Section II.A.1.e.